

ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY, DOCKET NO. P-0800(0)-3 08/462,147 06/05/95 EXAMINER 12M1/0311 PESELEV, E IVOR .M HUGHES HUGHES ETIGSON 175 COMMERCE VALLEY 1211 DRIVE WEST SUITE 200 THORNHILL ON L3T 7P6 DATE MAILED CANADA This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY** Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters; prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days. whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). **Disposition of Claims 风** Claim(s) <u>1</u> , 1 よ 入 is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1/ is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on _ is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on ____ _is

approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: _ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Serial Number: 08/462147

Art Unit: 1211

The specification is still objected to because it is presented on both sides of the paper.

Substitute specification has not been received.

Claims 11, 122, 151, 187, , 216, , 261 and 263 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terminology "selected from" (all occurrences) is an improper markush terminology. Such terminology as "selected from the group consisting of" can be used to overcome the above rejection.

Claims 11, 122, 123, 151, 187, 216, 218 and 261-264 still stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Della Valle et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,736,024) in combination with Della Valle et al (U.S.Patent No. 5,336,767) and Lowry (U.S. Patent No. 4,900,550) for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of June 24, 1996.

Applicant's arguments filed December 16, 1996 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that Della Valle et al patent does not teach the claimed dosage but teaches very small dosages containing less than .2 mg. of HA per drop applied to the cornea of the eye together with the medicine. This argument has not been found persuasive. In column 2, lines 52-68, Della Valle et al teach that the combination of HA and a drug can also be used in dermatology and disesases affecting the mucuous mwmbrane. Della Valle et al further teach concentration of such solutions within ample limits, for example, between 0.01 and 75% both

Serial Number: 08/462144

Art Unit: 1211

for each of the components taken separately, and for their mixtures and salts (column 9, lines 1-16). Thus, the teaching by Della Valle et al is not limited to the use in ophthalmology and also covers a broad range of concentrations and dosages.

The declaration by Torvard C. Laurent has been considered but has oit been found persuasive. The declaration states that prior to the instant invention, it was not known that hyaluronic acid potentiates the action of the drugs. However, the declaration fails to present any data showing that hyaluronic acid potentiates the effect of the drugs encompassed by the instant application.

The declaration by Joseph Robert Emmott Fraser has been considered but has not been found persuasive. The delaration states that the instant invention uses dosages of HA much larger than expected and that the instant invention relates to the use of HA for targeting the medicines for better performance. However, this declaration fails to provide any data showing the potentiating effect of HA on the drug encompassed by the instant claims.

The declarations by Ian Constable, Eva Turley, Stefan Gustafson and Adrian Moore have also been considered but have not been found persuasive. Again the declarations fail to present any data showing that HA enhances the ability of known medicines. Therefore, the claimed methods and compositions are still deemed prima facie obvious over the art of record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Serial Number: 08/46 147 Page 4

Art Unit: 1211

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Elli Peselev at telephone number (703) 308-4616.

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200